

CR 10 00603 W

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FILED

SEAL OF THE COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2010 AUG 11 P 2:32

SAN JOSE DIVISION

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. CALIF. SAN JOSE

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

PAUL SHIN DEVINE and ANDREW ANG,

INDICTMENT

COUNTS ONE - FIFTEEN: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1346 - Wire Fraud;
COUNT SIXTEEN: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1349 - Wire Fraud Conspiracy;
COUNTS SEVENTEEN - TWENTY ONE: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(I) and 2 - Money Laundering;
COUNT TWENTY-THREE: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1957 and 2 - Monetary Transactions with
Criminally Derived Property;

A true bill.

Jim Bonner

Foreperson

Filed in open court this 11th day of August

A.D. 2010

Sealed

Daphne J. Hurlburt
United States Magistrate Judge

Bail. \$

No Bail

NO.	CSA's INITIALS
<i>Le</i>	
DISTRICT COURT CRIMINAL CASE PROCESSING	

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332)
United States Attorney

FILED
2010 AUG 11 P 2:53
RICHARD W. WIERING
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. CALIF. SAN JOSE

SEALED BY ORDER
OF THE COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAUL SHIN DEVINE and
ANDREW ANG,

Defendants.

CR 10 00 603

UNDER SEAL

VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1346
– Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Wire
Fraud Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. §
1956(a)(1)(B)(I) – Money Laundering;
18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Monetary
Transactions in Criminally Derived
Property; 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Aiding and
Abetting; 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C),
982(a)(1) – Criminal Forfeiture.

SAN JOSE VENUE

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

INTRODUCTION

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

1. Defendant PAUL SHIN DEVINE (“DEVINE”) was an individual living in
Sunnyvale, California.

INDICTMENT
U.S. V. DEVINE, ET. AL.

JW
PVT

1 2. Defendant ANDREW ANG ("ANG") was an individual living in the
2 Republic of Singapore ("Singapore").

3 3. CPK Engineering was a California corporation registered with the
4 California Secretary of State in January 2010 and controlled by DEVINE.

5 4. Apple, Inc., ("Apple") was a company headquartered in Cupertino,
6 California. Devine began working for Apple in approximately 2005 as a Global Supply
7 Manager ("GSM").

8 5. In his role as Apple GSM, DEVINE had access to confidential company
9 information, including Apple product forecasts and product development plans (known as
10 "roadmaps"), pricing targets, and product specifications. DEVINE also had access to
11 confidential information shared with Apple by third parties.

12 6. DEVINE was responsible for selecting suppliers of enclosure materials for
13 headsets designed for Apple's iPhone and iPod products. It was part of DEVINE's job to
14 communicate directly with suppliers that manufacture the parts and to deal indirectly with
15 companies that assemble the headsets using parts manufactured by the suppliers.

16 7. The suppliers and assemblers were located in various countries in Asia,
17 including the People's Republic of China ("China"), the Republic of Korea ("South
18 Korea"), Taiwan, and Singapore.

19 a. Company A was based in South Korea and assembled headsets for
20 Apple's iPhone and iPod products. Many of the suppliers that DEVINE worked with
21 provided headset parts to Company A for assembly.

22 b. Company B was headquartered in Singapore and manufactured;
23 among other things, parts for Apple headsets. ANG was an employee of Company B.
24 DEVINE worked directly with Company B in his capacity as GSM at Apple.

25 c. Company C was a group of related businesses headquartered in
26 Singapore. Company C began supplying parts for Apple iPods in December 2007. ANG

1 acted as an agent on behalf of Company C in obtaining information from DEVINE.

2 DEVINE worked directly with Company C in his capacity as GSM at Apple.

3 d. Company D was based in China and did molding for Apple iPod
4 accessories. DEVINE worked directly with Company D in his capacity as GSM at Apple.

5 e. Company E, based in Singapore, manufactured parts for Apple
6 products such as desktop computers. In 2008 Company E was trying to win business
7 supplying other Apple parts, such as iPod and iPhone parts. ANG acted as an agent on
8 behalf of Company E in obtaining information from DEVINE.

9 f. Company F, based in Taiwan, manufactured parts for Apple
10 headsets. DEVINE worked directly with Company F in his capacity as GSM at Apple.

11 THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

12 8. Beginning at a time unknown to the grand jury, but no later than in or about
13 February 2007, and continuing through approximately August 2010, the defendants,

14 PAUL SHIN DEVINE
15 and
16 ANDREW ANG,

17 did knowingly and with the intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a scheme and
18 artifice to defraud as to a material matter, and to obtain money and property by means of
19 materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, promises, and omissions, and to
20 deprive Apple of its intangible right to DEVINE's honest services.

21 9. The gist of the scheme was that DEVINE used his position at Apple to
22 obtain confidential Apple information, which he then transmitted to suppliers and
23 manufacturers of Apple parts, including ANG. In return, the suppliers and manufacturers
24 agreed to pay DEVINE kickbacks, including payments determined as a percentage of the
25 business they did with Apple. DEVINE shared a portion of those kickbacks with ANG.
26 This scheme deprived Apple of the following: (a) its tangible property right in the
27 confidentiality of its information; (b) its money and property by enabling the suppliers

1 and manufacturers to, among other things, negotiate more favorable contracts with Apple
2 than they would have been able to obtain without the confidential information; and (c) its
3 intangible right to DEVINE's honest services.

4 10. DEVINE directed suppliers and manufacturers to pay kickbacks via wire
5 transfer to a bank account in his wife's name, opened for the purpose of receiving the
6 proceeds of the scheme. DEVINE also opened bank accounts in several countries in Asia,
7 including bank accounts in his wife's name, to which he directed suppliers to wire
8 kickback payments.

9 11. It was further part of the scheme that DEVINE received kickback payments
10 directly from suppliers and agents of suppliers while he traveled in Asia on behalf of
11 Apple.

12 12. It was further part of the scheme that DEVINE registered CPK Engineering
13 Corporation with the California Secretary of State and opened bank accounts in the
14 business name for the purpose of receiving kickback payments while disguising the
15 source and nature of the payments. DEVINE then caused proceeds of the fraudulent
16 scheme to be transferred to personal accounts from the CPK Engineering account.

17 13. It was further part of the scheme that DEVINE sought to prevent the
18 discovery of his kickback scheme by using certain code words that would not attract
19 attention if seen by Apple employees. For example, DEVINE used the code word
20 "sample" to represent a kickback payment, particularly in correspondence with ANG.

21 14. As part of the scheme to defraud Apple, DEVINE engaged in certain
22 conduct and made certain material false representations, promises, and omissions,
23 including, but not limited to, the following:

24 a. DEVINE represented to Apple that he would maintain the
25 confidentiality of Apple's information and of the information shared with Apple by
26 third parties.

1 b. DEVINE represented that he had a legitimate business need for
2 certain information he requested from other Apple employees, when he in fact
3 requested it with the intent to send the confidential information to companies
4 participating in his scheme.

5 c. DEVINE represented to Apple that he knew of no kickbacks and had
6 no conflicts of interest that had not been reported to Apple management.

7 d. DEVINE failed to disclose to Apple that he made arrangements with
8 suppliers and manufacturers for them to make kickback payments to DEVINE in
9 exchange for DEVINE disclosing confidential Apple information.

10 USE OF THE WIRES

11 15. DEVINE used email communications to carry out an essential part of the
12 scheme to defraud by transmitting confidential information belonging to Apple and its
13 business partners to other participants in the scheme, including ANG. DEVINE also used
14 email communications to negotiate the kickback amounts and arrange payments with the
15 suppliers and manufacturers.

16 16. As part of the scheme to defraud, DEVINE and ANG communicated via
17 email regarding their respective shares of kickback payments.

18 17. As part of the scheme to defraud, DEVINE caused suppliers and
19 manufacturers to make kickback payments to him via wire transfer to bank accounts in
20 the United States and abroad.

21
22 COUNTS ONE AND TWO: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1346 – Wire Fraud)

23 18. The factual allegations at Paragraphs One through Seventeen are realleged
24 as if set forth fully herein.

25 19. On or about the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern
26 District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice

1 to defraud Apple of its intangible right to DEVINE's honest services and to obtain money
2 and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations,
3 promises, and material omissions, defendants,

4
5 PAUL SHIN DEVINE
6 and
7 ANDREW ANG,

8 did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and
9 television communication in interstate and foreign commerce the following writings,
10 signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, each communication being a separate count of this
11 indictment:

Count	Approximate Date of Wire	Description of Wire
One	February 28, 2008	Email from DEVINE to ANG, giving Apple's confidential internal price target and recommending a higher target price for Company C to propose.
Two	April 9, 2009	Email from DEVINE to ANG and Company E attaching a confidential drawing and pricing information submitted to Apple by a competitor of Company E.

16 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 1346.

17
18 COUNTS THREE THROUGH FIFTEEN: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1346 – Wire Fraud)

19 20. The factual allegations at Paragraphs One through Seventeen are realleged
20 as if set forth fully herein.

21 21. On or about the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern
22 District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice
23 to defraud Apple of its intangible right to DEVINE's honest services and to obtain money
24 and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations,
25 promises, and material omissions, defendant,

26
27
28 INDICTMENT
U.S. v. DEVINE, ET. AL.

PAUL SHIN DEVINE,

did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce the following writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, each communication being a separate count of this indictment:

Count	Approximate Date of Wire	Description of Wire
Three	February 15, 2007	Email from Company A attaching final draft of "Consulting Services" contract between DEVINE and Company A, in which DEVINE agrees to share confidential Apple information in exchange for \$6,000 per month payment.
Four	March 25, 2007	Email from DEVINE to Company B attaching confidential pricing proposal for parts and tooling for the iPod Touch, submitted to Apple by one of Company B's competitor's.
Five	March 23, 2008	Email from DEVINE to Company A attaching Apple's confidential projected quarterly sales for several existing and new iPod and iPhone models.
Six	April 2, 2008	Wire Transfer for \$6,000 from Company A to Center Bank account ending in 0232.
Seven	February 2, 2009	Email from DEVINE to Company A attaching Apple's confidential actual and projected volume and revenue as well as Apple's unit cost for iPods and iPhones.
Eight	March 2, 2009	Email from DEVINE to Company D attaching confidential designs submitted to Apple by a competitor of Company D.
Nine	March 9, 2009	Email from Company D to DEVINE stating that kickback payment had been made in four installments.
Ten	May 11, 2009	Email from DEVINE to Company B proposing kickback payment schedule.
Eleven	July 29, 2009	Email from DEVINE to Company B attaching confidential per-part pricing for Company B's competitors
Twelve	March 5, 2010	Wire Transfer for \$129,970 from Company C to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695.
Thirteen	April 22, 2010	Wire Transfer for \$57,758 from Company E to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695.

INDICTMENT
U.S. v. DEVINE, ET. AL.

Fourteen	April 15, 2010	Wire Transfer for \$54,292 from Company F to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695.
Fifteen	May 11, 2010	Email from DEVINE to Company F attaching a confidential pricing discussion between another Apple employee and a competitor of Company F.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 1346.

COUNT SIXTEEN: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1349 – Wire Fraud Conspiracy)

22. The factual allegations contained in Paragraphs One through Twenty-One are realleged and incorporated herein.

23. Beginning at a time unknown to the grand jury, and continuing through approximately August 2010, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants,

PAUL SHIN DEVINE
and
ANDREW ANG,

did knowingly and willfully conspire and agree with each other and with other persons known and unknown to the grand jury to willfully commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

24. It was part of the conspiracy that DEVINE sent ANG Apple confidential information via email for the benefit of Companies B, C, and E.

25. It was further part of the conspiracy that ANG and DEVINE shared kickback payments and corresponded regularly by email regarding the division of those payments.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

//

INDICTMENT
U.S. V. DEVINE, ET. AL.

COUNTS SEVENTEEN THROUGH TWENTY-TWO: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2 – Money Laundering)

26. The factual allegations contained in Paragraphs One through Twenty-One are realleged and incorporated herein.

27. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendant,

PAUL SHIN DEVINE,

did knowingly conduct and attempt to conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, the transfer of funds, which involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343), knowing that the transaction was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise, the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of said specified unlawful activity and that while conducting and attempting to conduct such financial transaction knew that the property involved in the financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity:

Count	Approximate Date	Description of Transaction
Seventeen	March 16, 2010	Transfer of \$9,500.49 from J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695 to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 9133.
Eighteen	March 16, 2010	Transfer of \$7,727.22 from J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695 to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 9133.
Nineteen	April 16, 2010	Transfer of \$9,500.49 from J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695 to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 9133.
Twenty	April 16, 2010	Transfer of \$7,727.22 from J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695 to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 9133.
Twenty-One	May 13, 2010	Transfer of \$9,500.49 from J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695 to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 9133.

INDICTMENT
U.S. V. DEVINE, ET. AL.

Twenty-Two	May 13, 2010	Transfer of \$7,727.22 from J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 3695 to J.P. Morgan Chase account ending in 9133.
------------	--------------	---

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

COUNT TWENTY-THREE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1957 and 2 – Monetary Transactions with Criminally Derived Property)

28. The factual allegations contained in Paragraphs One through Twenty-One are realleged and incorporated herein.

29. On or about May 18, 2010, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendant,

PAUL SHIN DEVINE,

did knowingly engage and attempt to engage in a monetary transaction by, through, or to a financial institution, affecting interstate or foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, that is, transfer of funds in the amount of \$536,747.88 from JP Morgan Chase Bank account ending in 3695 to account ending in 3889, such property having been derived from a specified unlawful activity, that is, Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343), all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1957 and 2.

CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION NUMBER ONE

30. The factual allegations contained in Counts One through Twenty-Three are hereby realleged for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

31. Upon conviction of any of the offenses in violation of Title 18, United

1 States Code, Sections 1343 or 1349 set forth in Counts One through Sixteen of this
 2 Indictment, or any of them, the defendants,

3
 4 PAUL SHIN DEVINE
 and
 5 ANDREW ANG,

6 shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
 7 Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any property, real
 8 or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offenses,
 9 including but not limited to the sum of money equal to the total amount of proceeds
 10 defendant obtained or derived from, directly or indirectly, from the violation.

11 32. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission
 12 of the defendant:

- 13 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- 14 b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- 15 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- 16 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- 17 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided

18 without difficulty,

19 the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant
 20 to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States
 21 Code, Section 2461(c), all pursuant to Title 18 United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C)
 22 and Title 28 United States Code, Section 2461(c).

23 CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION NUMBER TWO

24 33. The factual allegations contained in Counts One through Twenty-Three of
 25 this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of
 26 alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(1).
 27

28 INDICTMENT
 U.S. V. DEVINE, ET. AL.

1 34. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), upon
2 conviction of any of the offenses in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section
3 1956 or 1957, as set forth in Counts Seventeen through Twenty-Three of this Indictment,
4 the defendant,

5 PAUL SHIN DEVINE,
6 shall forfeit to the United States of America any property, real or personal, involved in
7 such offense, and any property traceable to such property.

8 35. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission
9 of the defendant:

- 10 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
11 b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
12 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
13 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
14 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided
15 without difficulty,

16 the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant
17 to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 INDICTMENT
U.S. v. DEVINE, ET. AL.

1 Code, Section 982(b)(1) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

2
3
4 DATED:


8-11-10

A TRUE BILL.

5
6 
FOREPERSON

7
8 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

9 
10 MATTHEW A. PARRELLA
Chief, CHIP Unit

11
12 (Approved as to form: )

13 AUSA MICHELLE J. KANE

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 INDICTMENT
U.S. v. DEVINE, ET. AL.

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT

BY: ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT

Matter Sealed: ☐ Juvenile ☒ Other than Juvenile

☐ Pre-Indictment Plea ☒ Superseding ☐ Defendant Added

☒ Indictment ☐ Charges/Counts Added

☐ Information

Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location (City)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Northern
DISTRICT OF California, San Jose Divisional Office

Name and Office of Person
Furnishing Information on
THIS FORM JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO

☒ U.S. Atty ☐ Other U.S. Agency
Phone No. (408) 535-5061

Name of Asst.
U.S. Attorney Michelle J. Kane
(if assigned)

PROCEEDING

Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)
Federal Bureau of Investigation

☐ person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court
(give name of court)

☐ this person/proceeding transferred from another district
per (circle one) FRCrP 20, 21 or 40. Show District

☐ this is a reprosecution of charges
previously dismissed which were
dismissed on motion of:

☐ U.S. Atty ☐ Defense

☐ this prosecution relates to a
pending case involving this same
defendant. (Notice of Related
Case must still be filed with the
Clerk.)

☐ prior proceedings or appearance(s)
before U.S. Magistrate Judge
regarding this defendant were
recorded under

SHOW
DOCKET NO.MAG. JUDGE
CASE NO.

Place of
offense Santa Clara

County

CASE NO.

USA vs.

Defendant: PAUL SHIN DEVINE
2010 AUG 11 P 2:32

Address:

CR10 00603 PVT

☐ Interpreter Required Dialect: _____

Birth Date _____ ☒ Male ☐ Alien
☐ Female (if applicable)

Social Security Number _____

DEFENDANT

Issue: ☒ Warrant ☐ Summons

Location Status:

Arrest Date _____ or Date Transferred to Federal Custody _____

☐ Currently in Federal Custody

☐ Currently in State Custody

☐ Writ Required

☐ Currently on bond

☐ Fugitive

Defense Counsel (if any): _____

☐ FPD ☐ CJA ☐ RET'D

☐ Appointed on Target Letter

☐ This report amends AO 257 previously submitted

OFFENSE CHARGED - U.S.C. CITATION - STATUTORY MAXIMUM PENALTIES - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS

Total # of Counts 5

Set	Title & Section/Offense Level (Petty = 1 / Misdemeanor = 3 / Felony = 4)	Description of Offense Charged	Count(s)
	18 USC 1343 & 1346 (Felony - see attached)	Wire Fraud	1-15
	18 USC 1349 (Felony - see attached)	Wire Fraud Conspiracy	16
	18 USC 1956(a)(1)(B) (Felony - see attached)	Money Laundering	17-22
	18 USC 1957 (Felony - see attached)	Monetary Transactions in Criminally-Derived Property	23

Attachment

Statutory Maximum Penalties

18 U.S.C. § 1343: 20 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment.

18 U.S.C. § 1349: 20 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment.

18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i): 20 years imprisonment, \$500,000 fine or twice the value of the property involved in the transaction, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment.

18 U.S.C. § 1957: 10 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine or twice the value of the property involved in the transaction, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment.

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT

BY: ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENTMatter Sealed: ☐ Juvenile ☒ Other than Juvenile
☐ Pre-Indictment Plea ☐ Superseding ☐ Defendant Added
☒ Indictment ☐ Charges/Counts Added
☐ Information

Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location (City)

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Northern
 DISTRICT OF California, San Jose Divisional Office

 Name and Office of Person
 Furnishing Information on
 THIS FORM JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
☒ U.S. Atty ☐ Other U.S. Agency
 Phone No. (408) 535-5061

 Name of Asst.
 U.S. Attorney Michelle J. Kane
 (if assigned)

PROCEEDING

 Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)
 Federal Bureau of Investigation

☐ person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court
 (give name of court)

☐ this person/proceeding transferred from another district
 per (circle one) FRCrP 20, 21 or 40. Show District

☐ this is a reprosecution of charges
 previously dismissed which were
 dismissed on motion of:

☐ U.S. Atty ☐ Defense
SHOW
DOCKET NO.
☐ this prosecution relates to a
 pending case involving this same
 defendant. (Notice of Related
 Case must still be filed with the
 Clerk.)
MAG. JUDGE
CASE NO.
☐ prior proceedings or appearance(s)
 before U.S. Magistrate Judge
 regarding this defendant were
 recorded under

 Place of
 offense Santa Clara County

CASE NO.

USA vs.

Defendant: ANDREW ANG

Address:

☐ Interpreter Required Dialect:

 Birth
 Date

☒ Male ☐ Alien
☐ Female (if applicable)

Social Security Number

DEFENDANT

Issue: ☒ Warrant ☐ Summons

Location Status:

Arrest Date or Date Transferred to Federal Custody

☐ Currently in Federal Custody☐ Currently in State Custody☐ Writ Required☐ Currently on bond☐ Fugitive

Defense Counsel (if any):

☐ FPD ☐ CJA ☐ RET'D

☐ Appointed on Target Letter

☐ This report amends AO 257 previously submitted

OFFENSE CHARGED - U.S.C. CITATION - STATUTORY MAXIMUM PENALTIES - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS

Total # of Counts 5

Set	Title & Section/Offense Level (Petty = 1 / Misdemeanor = 3 / Felony = 4)	Description of Offense Charged	Count(s)
	18 USC 1343 & 1346 (Felony - see attached)	Wire Fraud	1-2
	18 USC 1349 (Felony - see attached)	Wire Fraud Conspiracy	16

Attachment

Statutory Maximum Penalties

18 U.S.C. § 1343: 20 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment.

18 U.S.C. § 1349: 20 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, 3 years supervised release, \$100 special assessment.